



The Miami Herald > Opinion > Other Views

Other Views

Saturday, 06.27.09 Welcome Guest Login Register


Current: 91°
 90° / 76°
[Complete Forecast](#)

HOME DELIVERY
Sunday's
Miami Herald
 6 Months for \$20!
 

Posted on Tuesday, 06.16.09 [A](#) [A](#) [Recommend \(0\)](#) [share](#) [email](#) [print](#) [comment](#) [reprint](#)

TERRORISM

Liberals' darling strikes out

BY GLENN GARVIN
GGARVIN@MIAMIHERALD.COM

When U.S. Attorney Patrick Fitzgerald was investigating the leak of a CIA officer's name a couple of years ago, he bullied witnesses, threw innocent people in jail and generally acted like J. Edgar Hoover on the trail of a commie spy -- and his noisiest cheerleaders were American liberals, thrilled by the discovery that prosecutorial abuse can be fun when you're directing it at the Bush administration. I wonder if they'll like it as much now that Fitzgerald is slapping around the First Amendment.

Fitzgerald and his Justice Department pals, outraged by this week's publication of a critical book they've tried to kill for two years, are threatening to sue not only the author and publisher, but even bloggers.

The book, *Triple Cross* by former ABC reporter Peter Lance, tells the story of al Qaeda master spy Ali Mohamed, who infiltrated the CIA, the Green Berets and the FBI while laying the groundwork for Osama bin Laden's campaign of terror that culminated in the Sept. 11 attacks. Mohamed passed his Green Beret training along to a terrorist cell in New York, which killed Rabbi Meier Kahane, bombed the World Trade Center in 1994 and planned to blow up bridges into the city in what became known as the "Day of Terror" attacks.

That Day of Terror never dawned; cell members were successfully prosecuted by Fitzgerald, then an assistant U.S. attorney in New York, in the case that earned him the reputation as the nation's law-enforcement ace on terrorism. *Triple Cross*, however, argues that Fitzgerald and the Justice Department muffed chance after chance to roll up al Qaeda's U.S. network (including some 9/11 hijackers) and deliberately discredited intelligence on al Qaeda from a jailhouse snitch that might have exposed FBI screw-ups.

That's not the kind of fawning press Fitzgerald is accustomed to, particularly since his term as special prosecutor on the Valerie Plame leak case. By any objective standard, the case was a legal flop and an insane waste of resources: Assigned a relatively simple task -- finding who leaked Plame's CIA identity to the press -- Fitzgerald spent three years and almost \$3 million and in the end couldn't even prove the leak broke the law; the leaker, State Department official Richard Armitage, was never charged with anything. And though Armitage confessed the leak to Fitzgerald almost immediately, the prosecutor kept it secret and continued his investigation for years, inflicting huge legal bills on Bush staffers who would never be charged and even jailing New York Times reporter Judith Miller for three months although she'd never written a word about the case.

When a special prosecutor strikes out that way against anybody else -- say, Bill Clinton -- he's vilified as a vindictive judicial inquisitor. Fitzgerald, however, was the American left's dream warrior, criminalizing policy debate over the Iraq war and exacting a revenge that voters refused to deliver in the 2004 election. He became a media folk hero -- even made *People* magazine's Sexiest Men Alive list.

Given the mountain of good press Fitzgerald got for the molehill of results on the Plame case, it's not surprising that smoke started belching from his ears when *Triple Cross* was published in 2007. But he didn't just call a press conference to defend himself, he wrote a scorching letter to the publisher to "demand" -- his word -- the book be yanked off shelves. When HarperCollins didn't get with the program, Fitzgerald wrote a second letter -- and just to be sure the company knew who it was messing with, he faxed it from the U.S. attorney's office in Chicago.

Fitzgerald didn't succeed in killing *Triple Cross*, but he managed to keep the paperback version off the market for more than a year while HarperCollins and its lawyers went over the text line by line. Meanwhile, increasingly bellicose letters from Fitzgerald have continued at regular intervals. "I write to demand immediate compliance with my demands of October 2007," said one. When a lawyer uses the word "demand" twice in six words, you know his subpoena-finger is twitching. And when HarperCollins


 Web Search powered by **YAHOO!**


Look For Yellow and Save
THE RADIOSHACK CLEARANCE EVENT!
 Now through June 27, find savings throughout the store.
Limited to in-stock items while supplies last. Availability varies by store. No rain checks, phone orders or Direct2U orders.
[Find a Store >](#) 

"1 Tip To A Skinny Stomach"

I cut down 3 lbs of body fat per week by obeying this 1 Golden Rule...
[Click Here To Read My Story](#)

MORE OTHER VIEWS

- Ultimately, the mullahs will fall
- Court decision upholds voting rights
- A life becomes an example
- Journalism in the Twitter era
- Sanford succumbed to his baser voices
- Palestinians' violent past

[More](#)

VIDEOS

announced that the paperback, essentially unchanged, would be published, Fitzgerald's target list expanded.

A blogger who wrote about the book two weeks ago was immediately warned by one of Fitzgerald's former Justice Department buddies that he might be breaking the law and had better get an attorney.

Just as he sought to criminalize disagreements over the Iraq war, Fitzgerald is now trying to force criticism of his performance as a public official into a courtroom. Libel law was never intended to protect the government from its own constituents. "Fitzgerald is just going to have to have a thicker skin," says Jan Schlichtmann, the attorney whose tangle with the chemical industry was dramatized in the film *A Civil Action*. "If he wants to defend himself against criticism in the book, do it in the marketplace of ideas. He shouldn't use his public office to be a gatekeeper. Patrick Fitzgerald is not supposed to be the one who decides what we read and what we discuss."

Sadly, that's going to be news to Fitzgerald.

recommend email print share buzz up! Digg

Join the discussion

The Miami Herald is pleased to provide this opportunity to share information, experiences and observations about what's in the news. Some of the comments may be reprinted elsewhere in the site or in the newspaper. We encourage lively, open debate on the issues of the day, and ask that you refrain from profanity, hate speech, personal comments and remarks that are off point. In order to post comments, you must be a registered user of MiamiHerald.com. Your username will show along with the comments you post. Thank you for taking the time to offer your thoughts.

Comments (8)

POWERED BY Pluck

You must be logged in to leave a comment. [Login](#) | [Register](#)

Comments: 8 Showing:



DENALI1 wrote on 06/18/2009 00:20:44 AM:

Why in Gawd's name does The Herald continue to print the insane rantings of this troglodytic cretin?? He has ALWAYS been a gross embarrassment, regardless of his so-called little..."job." Just another Neo-con would-be little Nazi - and damned proud of it. His rant re Fitzgerald is as pathetic as are his mind and soul. A weak, needy Little Man who is filled to the brim with hatred, anger, bitterness, envy, jealousy, personal failures, and a host of other psychological dysfunctions. Another Repug..."tough guy." A virtual nameless human being of unknown qualifications - pretending to be sophisticated and enlightened. A crazed canary - in search of a cage. A stench in the nostrils of the very word, "decency." A little exposure in a once-fine newspaper can no more improve Garvin's essence...than sunshine can sweeten garbage. Pitiful - but dangerous.

Recommend (2) Report abuse



RichardCheeseman wrote on 06/18/2009 00:05:30 AM:

He's only bitter with Fitzgerald for his role in exposing one of the Bush regime's crimes, i.e. outing a CIA agent. Fitzgerald's real sin was doing his job.

The emotional heat here comes from the fact that Cheney-Bush gang apologists like this guy know that outing spies is among the very least of their heroes' crimes, and they're worried that they may lose their impunity for their greater crimes.

Outing one spy is nothing compared to e.g. running an official torture policy (a blatant crime against humanity) let alone the vastly greater crime against humanity of launching the premeditated war of aggression against Iraq behind a smokescreen of lies, a war which caused over a million deaths and forced over four million people to flee their homes.

Recommend (3) Report abuse



falkyr11 wrote on 06/16/2009 09:01:43 AM:

"...If there were a name, an example, then this column might make sense..."

Yes, good point. I was thinking the same thing.

Recommend (2) Report abuse



miamicoach wrote on 06/16/2009 08:11:04 AM:

Classic strawman - Garvin sets up nameless "liberals" who never had Fitzgerald as a hero and then criticizes these same nameless "liberals" for not being angry at his reaction to this book.

QUICK JOB SEARCH

Enter Keyword(s)

Enter City

Select a State

Select a Category

Advanced Job Search
Search by Category

powered by careerbuilder.com

If there were a name, an example, then this column might make sense, but this is a fictitious argument that Garvin is having inside his head.

The sad part is that real conservative voices are not being heard and published.

Recommend (6)  Report abuse



Aragon wrote on 06/16/2009 07:13:15 AM:

Glenn, pay no attention to the parade of Kool-aid leftists whose hate of anything that spells "Bush" blinds them to the really important issues of the day. Take comfort in the fact that there at least one fellow libertarian who enjoys your logical dissection of the hypocrisy that's so ubiquitous in the liberal mindset today. I hope the Herald Editorial Board does not pull the plug on you.

Recommend (1)  Report abuse



filabolo wrote on 06/16/2009 06:41:36 AM:

Fitzgerald is a republican. Prosecuting the outing of a CIA agent is not a "disagreement over Iraq policy". And the results, given the obstacles faced, were not bad. And the reference to Clinton and, by implication, Kenneth Starr, is priceless. By the way, I agree that politicized acts and prosecutions from a US Attorney are bad things. Makes one think of Alberto Gonzalez and Don Siegelman. Thanks for reminding me what a dreary columnist you are.

Recommend (8)  Report abuse



frankinwiltonmanors wrote on 06/16/2009 06:38:08 AM:

Do Mr Fitzgerald's 'crimes' even come near to matching what Ken Starr did to Bill Clinton?

Recommend (5)  Report abuse



cc74tu78 wrote on 06/16/2009 05:22:08 AM:

Sounds like a grumpy old man wants to continue the lies and deceit of George Bush abd Mr. Cheney. What thyt did was illegal, immoral and reprehensible. It was opposed by law abiding liberals and conservatives. Too bad Bush's team could not admit the truth - they sold out America.

Recommend (4)  Report abuse

More comments on this story: 1

Work for CIA? Get Free Training
Criminal Justice - Free Training Online - Open door to the CIA.
[FreeCareerTraining](#)

Liberal Jobs
\$30/Hour Work From Home Jobs.View Home Jobs Now! Computer Required.
[National-News](#)

Become a CIA Agent
Work for the CIA. Get Criminal Justice Degree and career info here.
[legal-criminal](#)

ADS BY YAHOO!

NEWS

SPORTS

ENTERTAINMENT

BUSINESS

LIVING

OPINION

CLASSIFIEDS

SERVICES

Site Map

 [RSS](#) |  [My Yahoo](#) | [Newsletters](#) | [Widgets](#) | [Mobile](#) | [Alerts](#) | [Twitter](#)

[About The Miami Herald](#) | [About the McClatchy Company](#) | [Terms of Use & Privacy Statement](#) | [Corrections](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Advertise](#)

Partners: [El Nuevo Herald](#) | [Newspaper in Education](#) | [WLRN](#) | [Miami Herald News](#) | [CBS4 WFOR-TV](#) | [More](#)

Copyright 2009 Miami Herald Media Co. All rights reserved